## **Comparing two Population Means & Proportions**

0

# Dr. M.M. Mweu, Level V MBChB Biostatistics, 22 May, 2018

# **Comparing two means**

- The objective here is to compare the mean value in two populations, or in two sub-populations by:
  - Calculating a confidence interval for the difference between 2 sample means which allows for sampling error in estimating the difference between the *true* means
  - □ **Testing the hypothesis** that the true means in the 2 populations are equal
- The underlying assumptions in these calculations are:
  - □ Variable of interest is normally distributed
  - Observations are independent i.e. random samples are chosen independently from the 2 pops of interest and there's no connection, for example, between 1<sup>st</sup> observation in one sample and the 1<sup>st</sup> observation in the other sample

### Sampling distribution of the difference betwn 2 means

 Random samples of size n<sub>1</sub> and n<sub>2</sub> are taken from 2 pops of interest. The means and SDs of a quantitative variable x in the 2 pops and samples are:

	Pop I	Sample I	Pop 2	Sample 2
Mean	$\mu_1$	$\overline{x_1}$	$\mu_2$	$\overline{x_2}$
SD	$\sigma_1$	<i>s</i> <sub>1</sub>	$\sigma_2$	<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>

- If random samples of a given size of the variable x were taken repeatedly in each of pop 1 & pop 2 and each time we measured (x
  <sub>1</sub> x
  <sub>2</sub>) we would find that:
  - $\Box$  The values of  $\overline{x_1}$ ,  $s_1$ ,  $\overline{x_2}$ ,  $s_2$  would vary from sample to sample
  - □ The values of  $(\overline{x_1} \overline{x_2})$  would be distributed symmetrically (normal distr.) above and below the true population value  $(\mu_1 \mu_2)$
  - □ Values near ( $\mu_1 \mu_2$ ) would occur more frequently than values far from ( $\mu_1 \mu_2$ )

## **Confidence interval for difference betwn 2 means**

 Assuming a large sample size (n≥40) the 95% CI for the difference betwn 2 means is given by:

$$(\overline{x_1} - \overline{x_2}) \mp Z_{\alpha/2} * \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}$$

**NB:** If there's no real difference betwn the 2 means the CI around the difference should include zero

#### Example:

In a cohort study in northeast Brazil, the mental and psychomotor development of low birth weight (1500-2499g) infants born at ≥37 weeks gestation (at term), were compared to those of a control sample of infants born with appropriate birth weight (3000-3499g). Results for mental devpt at 12 months of age in samples of low and appropriate birth weight infants were as follows:

## **Confidence interval for difference betwn 2 means**

Mental development score						
	n	Mean	SD			
Appropriate b. weight (ABVV)	84	115.1	9.44			
Low b. weight (LBVV)	84	108.1	11.50			

• What can be said about the mental development scores of children in these 2 groups?

$$n_1 = 84$$
,  $\overline{x_1} = 115.1$ ,  $s_1 = 9.44$   
 $n_2 = 84$ ,  $\overline{x_2} = 108.1$ ,  $s_2 = 11.50$ 

The difference in means is (115.1 - 108.1) = 7.0

Standard error is  $\sqrt{(9.44^2/84 + 11.5^2/84)} = \sqrt{2.635} = 1.623$ 

## **Confidence interval for difference betwn 2 means**

So the 95% CI for the difference  $(\mu_1 - \mu_2)$  is given by: (115.1 - 108.1)  $\mp$  1.96 $\sqrt{(9.44^2/84 + 11.5^2/84)}$  or 7.0  $\mp$  1.96\*1.623 which is: 3.82 to 10.18

So the data suggest that at age 12 months, ABW children have, <u>on</u> <u>average</u>, a mental development score betwn 3.8 and 10.2 points higher than LBW children

# Significance test for comparison of 2 means

• To test the hypothesis about the difference  $(\mu_1 - \mu_2)$  between 2 pop means, we write:

$$H_{o}: \mu_{1} = \mu_{2}$$
$$H_{a}: \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$$
$$Z = \frac{\overline{x_{1}} - \overline{x_{2}}}{\sqrt{\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}}$$

After calculating the Z, the *P*-value may be read from tables of the normal distribution.

#### **Example:**

In the Brazilian study,

 $(115.1 - 108.1)/\sqrt{(9.44^2/84 + 11.5^2/84)}$  or 7.0/1.623 = 4.31

From the Z tables, we find that  $\underline{P < 0.001}$ . In other words, there's strong evidence for a real difference betwn the 2 pop means

## Significance test for comparison of 2 means

- **NB:** Note the close relationship between the significance test and the confidence interval. The test will give a P-value less than 0.05 if the 95% CI excludes the hypothesised value (0) and vice versa. In the example, the 95% CI (3.82 to 10.2) excludes zero, and the P-value is less than 0.05.
- If sample sizes are small (n<40), and distribution of the individual values are approx. normal, a **t distribution** is used
- An additional assumption is that σ<sub>1</sub> and σ<sub>2</sub> are equal to a common value (common variance). So,

**CI:** 
$$(\overline{x_1} - \overline{x_2}) \neq t^* \sqrt{S_p^2 (\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}$$
 where  $S_p^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$ 

The value of t is read from the tables of the t-distribution with  $(n_1 + n_2 - 2)$  degrees of freedom

Significance test: t = 
$$\frac{(\overline{x_1} - \overline{x_2})}{\sqrt{S_p^2(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}}$$
 (Unpaired t-test)

## Significance test for comparison of 2 means

- However, if variances are different (**unequal variances**) then:
- First, test for equality of variances using an *F* test:

$$F_{(V_1,V_2)} = \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2}$$

where the larger variance forms the numerator and  $V_1 = n_1 - 1 \& V_2 = n_2 - 1 df$ 

• If the *F* test-statistic calculated above is significant then perform:

$$t = \frac{\overline{x_1} - \overline{x_2}}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}}$$
 (Welch t-test)

• **NB:** It is common to see t-tests used where sample sizes are large and adequate for use of *z* test.

## Comparing two population means for paired data

- A **paired t-test** is used to compare 2 pop means when you have 2 samples in which observations in one sample are *paired* with observations in the other sample
- Examples of paired data:
  - □ Before-and-after observations on the same subjects (e.g. students diagnostic test results before and after a particular course)
  - A comparison of 2 different methods of measurement or 2 different treatments where the measurements/treatments are applied to the same subjects (e.g. antigen and antibody ELISA tests on blood samples)
  - □ In matched study designs e.g. in a matched clinical trial where we wish to test a new therapy for leg ulcers in sickle cell anaemia relative to an existing therapy. We form pairs of patients *matched for age, sex and severity* of ulcers and randomly allocate one member of the pair to new therapy, and the other to the existing therapy and compare the quantitative outcomes on the 2 treatments
- Observations in a pair are *not* independent, however different pairs are independent

### Comparing two population means for paired data

- Let's assume 2 measurements (*x and y*) are taken on each sample drawn from different subjects. Steps involved in carrying out a paired t-test are:
  - □ Calculate the difference  $(d_i = x_i y_i)$  between the 2 observations on each pair, making sure you distinguish betwee positive and negative differences
  - □ Calculate the mean difference,  $\overline{d}$  (NB: the paired t-test assumes  $d_i s$  are normally distributed, if not then *non-parametric tests* are used)
  - □ State the hypotheses:

$$H_0: \overline{D} = 0$$
$$H_a: \overline{D} \neq 0$$

Calculate the standard deviation of the differences,  $S_d$ , and use these to calculate the standard error of the mean difference,  $SE(\bar{d}) = \frac{S_d}{\sqrt{n}}$ 

□ Calculate the t-statistic which is given by  $T = \frac{\overline{d}}{SE(\overline{d})}$ . Under the  $H_0$ , this

statistic follows a *t*-distribution with n - 1 degrees of freedom

□ Use *t*-tables to compare your value of *T* to the critical  $t_{n-1}$  distribution. This will give the *P*-value for the paired t-test

## Comparing two population means for paired data <u>Example</u>

• During a nutritional survey, a quality control exercise was carried out to check the agreement betwn 2 observers in taking skinfold measurements. Both observers measured the same 15 adults, with the following results:

	Skinfold measurement (mm)		Difference
Subject	Observer A	Observer B	A – B
1	21.5	18.3	+3.2
2	25.0	21.5	+3.5
3	19.3	16.3	+3.0
4	33.9	32.3	+1.6
5	15.9	19.1	-3.2
6	39.9	34.6	+5.3
7	20.8	16.8	+4.0
8	33.2	31.0	+2.2
9	34.4	32.5	+1.9
10	20.5	18.6	+1.9
П	14.6	14.0	+0.6
12	15.8	15.5	+0.3
13	18.4	16.4	+2.0
14	25.5	19.0	+6.5
15	19.0	17.6	+1.4

# **Comparing two population means for paired data** <u>Example</u>

• Mean difference  $\bar{d} = +2.28$  and standard deviation  $s_d = 2.25$ 

- The *T* statistic will given by:  $T = \frac{2.28}{\frac{2.25}{\sqrt{15}}} = 3.92$  with 14 df
- This gives 0.001 < P < 0.002, we reject H<sub>0</sub> and conclude that there's strong evidence of a real difference between the two observers.

# **Comparing two proportions**

- As with means, to compare two proportions (percentages) we use:
  - □ Statistical test of significance
  - □ 95% CI for the difference in the 2 proportions

#### Statistical significance test:

#### Example:

In a clinical trial for advanced (metastatic) breast cancer, patients were randomly assigned to L-Pam or CMF. Tumour response was defined as a shrinkage of tumour surface area by at least a half for a minimum of 2 weeks:

		CMF	L-Pam	
Tumour response	Yes No	49 (52.7%) 44	18 (19.8%) 73	67 (36.4%) 117
Total patients		93	91	184

# **Comparing two proportions**

#### Statistical significance test

• Is CMF better than L-Pam in shrinkage of the tumour?

 $H_{o}: \pi_{1} = \pi_{2}$  $H_{a}: \pi_{1} \neq \pi_{2}$ 

$$Z = \frac{(p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{p\bar{q}(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}} \quad \text{where } \bar{p} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{n_1 + n_2}$$

Here we make use of the fact that the difference between the 2 observed proportions has approximately a normal distribution (normal approximation to the binomial distribution): nπ ≥ 5; n(1 − π) ≥ 5

• 
$$|Z| = \frac{52.7\% - 19.8\%}{\sqrt{36.4 + 63.6 + (\frac{1}{93} + \frac{1}{91})}} = \frac{32.9}{7.1} = 4.63$$
 P<0.001 (Strong evidence that CMF)

patients had better response than L-Pam patients)

# **Comparing two proportions**

#### **Confidence interval:**

The 95% CI for the difference betwn 2 proportions (percentages) is:
 Observed difference (p) ± 1.96\*Standard error of difference

$$p \pm 1.96^* \sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}}$$

• So in the previous example the 95% CI is given by:

$$32.9\% \pm 1.96^* \sqrt{\frac{52.7*(100-52.7)}{93} + \frac{19.8*(100-19.8)}{91}}$$
$$= 32.9\% \pm 13.04$$

= 19.86% to 45.94% (interval doesn't include zero)

So, we are 95% confident that the <u>true pop difference</u> in tumour responses between CMF & L-Pam is between 19.86% and 45.94% **NB:** Standard error formula in the above calculation <u>doesn't assume</u> the  $H_o$  of the 2 proportions being equal (common variance).